Cartesian Kerr–Schild variation on the Newman–Janis ansatz

Deloshan Nawarajan and **Matt Visser**

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Victoria University of Wellington;
PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand.
E-mail:
deloshan.nawarajan@msor.vuw.ac.nz; matt.visser@msor.vuw.ac.nz

arXiv:1601.03532v1 [gr-qc] 14 Jan 2016

Abstract:
The Newman–Janis ansatz is a procedure (an "ansatz" or "trick") for obtaining the Kerr spacetime from the Schwarzschild spacetime. This 50 year old "trick" continues to generate heated discussion and debate even to this day. Most of the debate focusses on whether the Newman–Janis procedure can be upgraded to the status of an "algorithm", or if it is perhaps merely an inspired "ansatz", or possibly just a random "trick" of no deep physical significance. (That the Newman–Janis procedure very quickly led to the discovery of the Kerr–Newman spacetime is a point very much in its favour.) In the current article we will not answer these deeper questions, we shall instead present a much simpler alternative variation on the theme of the Newman–Janis ansatz that might be easier to work with. We shall present a 2-step version of the Newman–Janis trick that works directly with the Kerr–Schild "Cartesian" metric presentation of the Kerr spacetime. That is, we show how the original 4-step Newman–Janis procedure can, (using the interplay between oblate spheroidal and Cartesian coordinates), be reduced to a considerably cleaner 2-step process.

Date: 15 January 2016; LaTeX-ed January 15, 2016.

Keywords:
Newman–Janis ansatz; ansatz; algorithm; Kerr spacetime; Schwarzschild spacetime.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Kerr–Schild Cartesian form of the Newman–Janis ansatz **2**

3 Verifying correctness of this version of the ansatz **3**

4 Why does this ansatz work? **3**

5 Discussion **6**

1 Introduction

The Newman–Janis ansatz was formulated some 50 years ago [1], immediately leading to the discovery of the Kerr–Newman spacetime [2] describing electrically charged rotating black holes. Over the last 50 years there has been continual and sometimes heated debate and discussion as to the status of this ansatz — can the ansatz be upgraded to an algorithm, or is it essentially just a lucky guess, or should it possibly be downgraded to just a weakly motivated "trick". Part of the reason for the 50 years of confusion is that there is some ambiguity as to what exactly the Newman–Janis procedure actually is; several steps in the Newman–Janis procedure involve some seemingly arbitrary choices whose physical justification seems somewhat less than pellucid [3]. For general background discussion see for instance [4–11].

Now the original Newman–Janis ansatz is a 4-step procedure that works with complex orthonormal null tetrads. While there are good physics reasons to believe that all physically interesting Lorentzian spacetimes always admit globally defined orthonormal null tetrads [12], both the Schwarzschild and Kerr spacetimes can be described directly in terms of the metric, without introducing null tetrads. This hints that it might be possible to find a variant of the Newman–Janis ansatz that avoids null tetrads altogether. One such variant is Giampieri's version of the ansatz [13]. Another variant, described below, is a much simpler 2-step procedure that works by using the interplay between oblate spheroidal and Cartesian coordinates to motivate the Kerr–Schild "Cartesian" form of the Kerr metric. Both of these metric-based variants of the Newman–Janis ansatz still require one to make some seemingly arbitrary choices, but we would argue that they are somewhat better motivated than the original Newman–Janis ansatz.

2 Kerr–Schild Cartesian form of the Newman–Janis ansatz

Consider ordinary spherical polar coordinates (r_0, θ_0, ϕ_0) on flat 3-space

$$x + iy = r_0 \, \sin\theta_0 \, e^{i\phi_0}, \qquad z = r_0 \, \cos\theta_0, \tag{2.1}$$

and compare them with oblate spheroidal coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) on the same flat 3-space:

$$x + iy = (r + ia) \, \sin\theta \, e^{i\phi}, \qquad z = r \, \cos\theta. \tag{2.2}$$

In Cartesian coordinates, the Schwarzschild geometry in Kerr–Schild form is

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + \frac{2m}{r_0} \, (\ell_0)_a \, (\ell_0)_b; \tag{2.3}$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}\{-1, +1, +1, +1\}$ and

$$(\ell_0)_a = (1; \hat{r}) = \left(1; \frac{x}{r_0}, \frac{y}{r_0}, \frac{z}{r_0}\right) = (1; \sin\theta_0 \cos\phi_0, \sin\theta_0 \sin\phi_0, \cos\theta_0) \, . \tag{2.4}$$

To go from Schwarzschild to Kerr we now simply do two (quite independent) things:

1. Replace

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_0)_a \; &= \; (1; \sin\theta_0 \cos\phi_0, \sin\theta_0 \sin\phi_0, \cos\theta_0) \\ &\to (1; \sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta) = \ell_a. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

2. Replace

$$\frac{2m}{r_0} \to m \left(\frac{1}{r + ia\cos\theta} + \frac{1}{r - ia\cos\theta} \right) = \frac{2mr}{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta}. \tag{2.6}$$

That's all; no other changes are required. This is the fastest and most direct way we know of for going from Schwarzschild to Kerr. (In particular we do not need to invert the metric, nor do we need to work with a complex null tetrad, nor are any coordinate changes [whether real or complex] involved.) The original 4-step Newman–Janis procedure [1] has now been reduced to a 2-step process.

3 Verifying correctness of this version of the ansatz

To check that this procedure actually does generate the Kerr spacetime we proceed as follows.

- First, we note:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_a &= (1; \sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \\
&= \left(1; \Re\left[\frac{x+iy}{r+ia}\right], \Im\left[\frac{x+iy}{r+ia}\right], \frac{z}{r}\right) \\
&= \left(1; \Re\left[\frac{(x+iy)(r-ia)}{r^2+a^2}\right], \Im\left[\frac{(x+iy)(r+ia)}{r^2+a^2}\right], \frac{z}{r}\right) \\
&= \left(1; \frac{xr+ay}{r^2+a^2}, \frac{yr-ax}{r^2+a^2}, \frac{z}{r}\right). \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

- Second, we observe:

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2+y^2+z^2 &= (r^2+a^2)\sin^2\theta + r^2\cos^2\theta \\
&= r^2 + a^2\sin^2\theta \\
&= r^2 + a^2\left[1-\frac{z^2}{r^2}\right]. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

- Third, we ascern:

$$\frac{2mr}{r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta} = \frac{2mr^3}{r^4+a^2z^2}. \qquad (3.3)$$

These three equations are exactly the defining equations for the Kerr space-time in Cartesian Kerr–Schild form. See any standard textbook, for instance [14–17]. That is:

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + \frac{2mr}{r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta}\,\ell_a\ell_b \qquad (3.4)$$

is Ricci flat. Why does this work? As always with the Newman–Janis procedure and its variants, this "why" is the central question.

4 Why does this ansatz work?

There are two separate mappings involved in this procedure, the transformation of the null covector $(\ell_0)_a \to \ell_a$, and the transformation $f_0(x) \to f(x)$ of the scalar prefactor in the Kerr–Schild metric $(g_0)_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + f_0(x)\ \ell_a\ell_b \quad\to\quad g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + f(x)\ \ell_a\ell_b$. We *motivate* these transformations, (we do not *derive* these transformations), below.

Regarding the null covector: With hindsight, part of the motivation for the ansatz is obvious — there has been over 200 years of work on rotating fluid bodies in Newtonian gravity, and for close to 200 years it has been clear that (flat space) oblate spheroidal coordinates have been useful in this regard — so it should not really be a surprise that oblate spheroidal coordinates also show up in rotating black holes.

What is, however, surprising is the extreme and quite unexpected simplicity of the mapping $(\ell_0)_a \to \ell_a$ taking the Schwarzschild radial null congruence, $(\ell_0)_a$, to Kerr's null geodesic congruence, ℓ_a:

$$(1; \sin\theta_0 \cos\phi_0, \sin\theta_0 \sin\phi_0, \cos\theta_0) \to (1; \sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta)\,. \tag{4.1}$$

This amounts to just dropping the subscript zero and so "reinterpreting" spherical polar coordinates in terms of oblate spheroidal coordinates. In terms of the underlying Cartesian coordinates this corresponds to

$$\left(1; \frac{x}{r_0}, \frac{y}{r_0}, \frac{z}{r_0}\right) \to \left(1; \frac{xr + ay}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{yr - ax}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{z}{r}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

This is not merely a coordinate transformation, it is a genuine change in the null vector. While it is clear that this procedure automatically yields a null congruence, it is not *a priori* clear that this congruence will be geodesic, let alone shear-free. That can only be checked *a posteriori*.

If, instead of the covector ℓ_a, we choose to work with the null vector $\ell^a = \eta^{ab}\,\ell_b$, we obtain closely related results. Starting from

$$\partial_r = (\partial_r x)\partial_x + (\partial_r y)\partial_y + (\partial_r z)\partial_z = (\sin\theta\cos\phi)\partial_x + (\sin\theta\sin\phi)\partial_y + (\cos\theta)\partial_z, \tag{4.3}$$

we see that

$$(\ell_0)^\# = (\ell_0)^a \partial_a = -\partial_t + (\sin\theta_0\cos\phi_0)\partial_x + (\sin\theta_0\sin\phi_0)\partial_y + (\cos\theta_0)\partial_z = -\partial_t + \partial_{r_0}, \tag{4.4}$$

while

$$(\ell)^\# = \ell^a \partial_a = -\partial_t + (\sin\theta\cos\phi)\partial_x + (\sin\theta\sin\phi)\partial_y + (\cos\theta)\partial_z = -\partial_t + \partial_r. \tag{4.5}$$

So for the vector transformation $(\ell_0)^\# \to \ell^\#$ we need to consider

$$(\ell_0)^\# = -\partial_t + \partial_{r_0} \qquad \to \qquad (\ell)^\# = -\partial_t + \partial_r. \tag{4.6}$$

This amounts to just dropping the subscript zero and "reinterpreting" spherical polar r_0 in terms of the oblate spheroidal r. If we furthermore introduce retarded times $u_0 = t - r$ and $u = t - r$, while keeping other coordinates fixed, then this becomes even simpler:

$$(\ell_0)^\# = -\partial_{u_0} \qquad \to \qquad (\ell)^\# = -\partial_u. \tag{4.7}$$

Regarding the Kerr–Schild scalar prefactor $f(x)$: For this half of the ansatz a clue comes from the well-known behaviour of the Schwarzschild $2m/r$ term under complex displacement of the source. (See for instance [18].) Formally move the source in the Schwarzschild solution from the origin to $ia\hat{z}$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{r_0} \to \frac{1}{r_c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+(z-ia)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(r^2+a^2)\sin^2\theta + (r\cos\theta - ia)^2}}. \tag{4.8}$$

But this simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{r_c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - a^2\cos^2\theta - 2iar\cos\theta}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(r-ia\cos\theta)^2}} = \frac{1}{r-ia\cos\theta}. \tag{4.9}$$

That is, under translation of the source along the imaginary $\hat{z}$ axis we have

$$\frac{1}{r_0} \to \frac{1}{r_c} = \frac{1}{r-ia\cos\theta}. \tag{4.10}$$

Complex translations along these (and similar) lines are also useful in many other situations [19].

Another clue comes from the fact that for metrics that can be written in the Kerr–Schild form, $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + f(x)\ell_a\ell_b$, with ℓ_a a shear-free null geodesic congruence, the Ricci tensor is in fact linear in $f(x)$ [18]. This motivates superimposing two half-strength sources at $\pm ia\hat{z}$, and setting

$$\frac{1}{r} \to \frac{1}{2r_c} + \frac{1}{2\bar{r}_c} = \frac{1}{2(r+ia\cos\theta)} + \frac{1}{2(r-ia\cos\theta)} = \frac{r}{r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta}. \tag{4.11}$$

Comment: Individually all of these observations seem (at least *a posteriori*) tolerably well motivated, but there seems to be two quite separate things going on: The null congruence mapping $(\ell_0)_a \to \ell_a$ does not seem to have any nice simple interpretation in terms of translations along the imaginary $\hat{z}$ axis. An attempt along these lines might be to consider

$$\left(1; \frac{x}{r_0}, \frac{y}{r_0}, \frac{z}{r_0}\right) \to \left(1; \frac{x}{r-ia\cos\theta}, \frac{y}{r-ia\cos\theta}, \frac{z-ia}{r-ia\cos\theta}\right). \tag{4.12}$$

While this is certainly a null vector (with complex components) it is not obviously related to Kerr's shear-free null geodesic congruence

$$\ell_a = \left(1; \frac{xr+ay}{r^2+a^2}, \frac{yr-ax}{r^2+a^2}, \frac{z}{r}\right). \tag{4.13}$$

Extension #1: From the above it is clear that

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + \frac{2m}{r \pm ia\cos\theta}\,\ell_a\ell_b \tag{4.14}$$

is Ricci flat. Note this is a complex-valued metric tensor over a real manifold; this metric is not itself of direct physical interest, but metrics of this type typically do occur at intermediate stages in many computations based on the Newman–Janis algorithm [3].

Extension #2: What happens if we allow mass to become complex? Then

$$\frac{2m}{r_0} \to \left(\frac{m}{r + ia\cos\theta} + \frac{\bar{m}}{r - ia\cos\theta}\right) = \frac{2\Re(m)r + 2\Im(m)a\cos\theta}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}. \tag{4.15}$$

Then automatically

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + \frac{2\Re(m)r + 2\Im(m)a\cos\theta}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}\,\ell_a\ell_b \tag{4.16}$$

is Ricci flat. Note this is now a real-valued metric tensor over a real manifold. But the parameter $\Im(m)$ is now proportional to the NUT charge, and so destroys asymptotic flatness [6, 20]. For this reason it is typically set to zero early in the calculation.

5 Discussion

So what have we actually achieved here? We have developed a very simple and direct version of the Newman–Janis ansatz, one that works directly on the (covariant) metric. (So we can avoid working with null tetrads, metric inversion, and even avoid complex coordinate transformations.) In doing so we have reduced the usual four-step process to a more direct two-step process. We do not claim to have upgraded the Newman–Janis procedure to an algorithm, the procedure still requires some motivated guesswork, so it is still at best an ansatz. It is the compact nature of the current ansatz that is the primary issue of scientific interest in this article.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Marsden Fund, through a grant administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand.
DN was also supported by a Victoria University of Wellington MSc Scholarship.

References

[1] E. T. Newman and A. I. Janis,
"Note on the Kerr spinning particle metric",
J. Math. Phys. **6** (1965) 915.
doi:10.1063/1.1704350

[2] E. T. Newman, R. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash and R. Torrence,
"Metric of a rotating, charged mass",
J. Math. Phys. **6** (1965) 918.
doi:10.1063/1.1704351

[3] Deloshan Nawarajan,
"Complex spacetimes and the Newman–Janis trick",
MSc Thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 2015.

[4] ET Newman,
"Complex coordinate transformations and the Schwarzschild–Kerr metrics",
Journal of Mathematical Physics **14(6)** (1973) 774–776.

[5] S. P. Drake and P. Szekeres,
"Uniqueness of the Newman-Janis algorithm in generating the Kerr-Newman metric",
Gen. Rel. Grav. **32** (2000) 445
doi:10.1023/A:1001920232180
[gr-qc/9807001].

[6] R. P. Kerr,
"Discovering the Kerr and Kerr-Schild metrics",
arXiv:0706.1109 [gr-qc].
Published in *The Kerr spacetime: Rotating black holes in general relativity*,
edited by David Wiltshire, Matt Visser, and Susan Scott.
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[7] R. Whisker,
"Braneworld Black Holes",
PhD Thesis, Durham,
arXiv:0810.1534 [gr-qc].

[8] T. M. Adamo, C. N. Kozameh and E. T. Newman,
"Null geodesic congruences, asymptotically flat space-times and their physical interpretation",
Living Rev. Rel. **12** (2009) 6
[Living Rev. Rel. **15** (2012) 1]
[arXiv:0906.2155 [gr-qc]].

[9] T. Adamo and E. T. Newman,
"The Kerr-Newman metric: A Review",
Scholarpedia **9** (2014) 31791
doi:10.4249/scholarpedia.31791
[arXiv:1410.6626 [gr-qc]].

[10] H. Erbin,
"Deciphering and generalizing Demiański-Janis-Newman algorithm",
arXiv:1411.2909 [gr-qc].

[11] A. J. Keane,
"An extension of the Newman-Janis algorithm",
Class. Quant. Grav. **31** (2014) 155003
doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/15/155003
[arXiv:1407.4478 [gr-qc]].

[12] Deloshan Nawarajan and Matt Visser,
"Global properties of physically interesting Lorentzian spacetimes",
arXiv:1601.03355 [gr-qc].

[13] Giacomo Giampieri,
"Introducing angular momentum into a black hole using complex variables",
Gravity Research Foundation essay contest, 1990.
gravityresearchfoundation.org/pdf/awarded/1990/giampieri.pdf

[14] SW Hawking and GFR Ellis,
The large scale structure of spacetime,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.

[15] RK Sachs and H Wu,
General relativity for mathematicians,
Springer–Verlag, New York, 1977.

[16] Eric Poisson,
A relativist's toolkit,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
ISBN-13: 978-0521537803 ISBN-10: 0521537800

[17] M. Visser,
"The Kerr spacetime: A Brief introduction",
arXiv:0706.0622 [gr-qc].
Published in *The Kerr spacetime: Rotating black holes in general relativity*,
edited by David Wiltshire, Matt Visser, and Susan Scott.
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[18] Menahem M. Schiffer, Ronald J. Adler, James Mark, and Charles Sheffield,
"Kerr geometry as complexified Schwarzschild geometry",
Journal of Mathematical Physics **14** (1973) 52–56
http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1666171

[19] M. Visser,
"Physical wavelets: Lorentz covariant, singularity free, finite energy, zero action, localized solutions to the wave equation",
Phys. Lett. A **315** (2003) 219
doi:10.1016/S0375-9601(03)01051-X
[hep-th/0304081].

[20] M. Demianski and E.T. Newman,
"Combined Kerr–NUT solution of the Einstein field equations",
Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., **14** (1996) 653–637.